

Optical imaging and quantification of microvascular systems in plastic and reconstructive surgery

Siavash Yousefi, PhD

Department of Radiation Oncology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA





Microcirculatory Network

- Circulatory network: Cardiovascular and lymphatic system
- Deliver oxygen, nutrition, immune cells and hormones to tissue via **arteries** and collects waste materials from cells via **veins** and **lymphatic** vessels.
- Exchanged with cells via capillary beds.







Optical coherence tomography (OCT)

 A non-contact, non-invasive, depth-resolved, 3D, biomedical image modality capable of producing high-resolution (~µm) cross-sectional images of optical scattering media



Sampson et al., ESP Comprehensive, 2004

Optovue Inc.

St. Jude Inc.



OCT System Set-up





OCT 3D Image Formation



Each measurement along the depth (z) is called an A-line

By scanning the beam along the fast scan direction (x) and placing adjacent A-lines, a **B-scan** (tomogram) is formed



By scanning along the slow scan direction (y) and acquiring B-scans and placing them next to each other, a **3D OCT** image is formed.



Optical Micro-Angiography (OMAG)

• **OMAG**: 3-D dynamic blood perfusion within microcirculatory tissue beds *in vivo*.







Large FOV mouse pinna microangiography





ntord Stanford Cancer Imaging Training Program

Eigendecomposition-based clutter filtering technique for optical micro-angiography

- Received A-line is modeled as superposition of three **independent** components: clutter (stationary tissue), red blood cells and noise.
- $X = [X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N]^T = c + b + n \quad \leftrightarrow R_X = R_c + R_b + \sigma_n^2 I$
- Estimating the clutter subspace by the first largest components:

$$\widehat{R_c} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \widehat{R}_x = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} X_i X_i^H = E \Lambda E^H$$
$$E = [e_1, e_2, \dots, e_N] \text{ is the unitary matrix of eigenvectors}$$

• Filtered data (moving red blood cells) given by $Y = (I - \sum_{i} e_{i}e_{i}^{H}) X$



Problem Description

- Development of label-free and non-invasive imaging and quantification techniques to study wound healing progress *in vivo*.
 - Tissue structures
 - Blood flow perfusion
 - Lymphatic vessels
- This combination can be utilized to study therapeutic strategies for wound diseases such as diabetic ulcers.

Motivation

• Lack of non-invasive and label-free tools to study wound healing models at capillary level



OCT Angiography for imaging wound healing



Yousefi et al., Journal of Biomedical Optics, 2014.



Translational Application

Complications of injectable dermal fillers





Injectable dermal fillers

• In the past decade, there has been a paradigm shift away from surgical procedures into minimally-invasive procedures

Invention of injectable dermal fillers to remove skin wrinkles





Stanford Cancer Imaging Training Program

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF PLASTIC SURGEONS*

2016 NATIONAL



COSMETIC & RECONSTRUCTIVE PROCEDURE TRENDS

COSMETIC SURGICAL PROCEDURES	2016	2015	2000	2016 - vs - 2015	2016 - vs - 2000	COSMETIC MINIMALLY- INVASIVE PROCEDURES	2016	2015	2000	2016 - vs - 2015	2016 - vs - 2000
Breast augmentation (Augmentation mammaplasty)**	290,467	279,143	212,500	+4%	+37%	Botulinum Toxin Type A (Botox®, Dysport®, Xeomin®)***	7,056,255	6,757,198	786,911	+4%	+797%
Breast implant removals (Augmentation patients only)	28,467	24,661	40,787	+15%	-30%	Cellulite treatment (Velosmooth®, Endermology)	30,995	30,810	23,952	+1%	+29%
Breast lift (Mastopexy)	101,264	99,614	52,836	+2%	+92%	Chemical peel	1,360,850	1,310,252	1,149,457	+4%	+18%
Breast reduction (Aesthetic patients only)****	39,148	40,650	*	-4%	*	Injection lipolysis (e.g., Kybella®)†	55.660	47.333	*	+18%	*
Breast reduction in men (Gynecomastia)	27,760	27,456	20,351	+1%	+36%	Intense Pulsed Light (IPL) treatment	656.781	646.592	*	+2%	*
Buttock augmentation with fat grafting****	18,489	14,705	*	+26%	*	Laser hair removal	1.109.385	1.116.708	735,996	-1%	+51%
Buttock implants****	2,999	2,540	*	+18%	*	Laser skin resurfacing	586.662	569.458	170.951	+3%	+243%
Buttock lift	4,251	4,767	1,356	-11%	+213%	Ablative	166 194	159 795	*	+4%	*
Calf augmentation****	419	514	*	-18%	*	Non-ablative (Fraxel® etc.)	420 468	409 663	*	+3%	*
Cheek implant (Malar augmentation)	13,197	12,668	10,427	+4%	+27%	l aser treatment of leg veins	217 179	207 862	245 424	+4%	-12%
Chin augmentation (Mentoplasty)	16,688	17,451	26,924	-4%	-38%	Microdermabrasion	775 014	800 340	868 315	-3%	-11%
Dermabrasion	88,182	87,216	42,218	+1%	+109%	Non-invasive fat reduction					
Ear surgery (Otoplasty)	23,709	22,714	36,295	+4%	-35%	(e.g., Coolsculpting®, Liposonix®)†	333,082	318,144	*	+5%	*
Eyelid surgery (Blepharoplasty)	209,020	203,934	327,514	+2%	-36%	Non-surgical skin tightening	306,089	291,821	*	+5%	*
Facelift (Rhytidectomy)	131,106	125,711	133,856	+4%	-2%	Sclerotherany	323.009	322 280	866 555	0%	-63%
Forehead lift	43,038	40,450	120,971	+6%	-64%	Soft Tissue Fillers	2 600 969	2 550 097	652 995	+204	+20.9%
Hair transplantation	16,784	15,610	44,694	+8%	-62%	Acollular Dormal Matrixt***	2,000,000	2,550,967	052,005	TZ 70	+290%
Labiaplasty **** †	12,666	9,138	*	+39%	*	Acellular Dermai Matrix	7,809	9,544		-18%	
Lip augmentation (other than injectable materials)	28,430	27,449	18,589	+4%	+53%	Calle and	242,563	256,256	507.645	-5%	0001
Lip reduction****	3,547	927	*	+283%	*	Collagen	14,126	14,353	587,615	-2%	-98%
Liposuction	235,237	222,051	354,015	+6%	-34%	(Evolence®, Zyderm®, Zyplast®)	14,126	14,353	*	-2%	*
Lower body lift	11,299	8,431	207	+34%	+5358%	Fat	79,208	70,283	65,270	+13%	+21%
Neck lift	55,227	54,281	*	+2%	*	Hyaluronic acid (Juvederm Ultra®, Juvederm Ultra Plus®,	2 012 672	1 951 692	*	+3%	*
Nose reshaping (Rhinoplasty)	223,018	217,979	389,155	+2%	-43%	Perlane®, Restylane®, Belotero®)	2,012,072	1,551,652		.370	
Pectoral implants****	1,153	926	*	+25%	*	Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP)†	102,101	100,719	*	+1%	*
Thigh Lift	9,929	9,192	5,303	+8%	+87%	Polylactic acid (Sculptra®)	125,044	130,089	*	-4%	*
Tummy tuck (Abdominoplasty)	127,633	127,967	62,713	0%	+104%	Polymethyl-methacrylate microspheres (Artefill®)	17,345	18,051	*	-4%	*
Upper arm lift	17,860	17,099	338	+4%	+5184%	TOTAL / COSMETIC MINIMALLY-INVASIVE PROCEDURES ^^^^	15,411,829	14,969,785	5,500,446	+3%	+180%
TOTAL / COSMETIC SURGICAL PROCEDURES ^^^^	1,780,987	1,715,244	1,901,049	+4%	-6%	TOTAL / COSMETIC PROCEDURES ^^^^	17,192,816	16,685,029	7,401,495	+3%	+132%

* Top 5 procedures

* Top 5 procedures

Stanford Stanford Cancer Imaging Training Program

Variety of filler products in the cosmetic market

• Permanent

- Silicone
- Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) acrylic glass or Plexiglas
- Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) Teflon

Semi-permanent

- Collagen (Evolence)
- Calcium hydroxylapatite (Radiesse) particle size 25-45 μm
- Poly-L-lactic acid (Sculptra) particle size 40-63 μm

• Dissolvable

- Crosslinked hyaluronic acid
 - Restylane particle size 300 μm
 - Juvederm particle size 300 μm
 - Perlane particle size 650 μm

Source: American Society of Plastic Surgeons, Alpha cosmetic clinic





Variety of dissolvable filler products

- Restylane (300 μm)
- Juvederm (300 μm)
- Perlane (650 μm)
- Belotero (variable)
- Radiesse (25 µm)

From the creators of BOTOX Cosmetic (Botulinum Toxin Type A)

Before and after

Complications!

- Temporary: Bruising and erythema
- Permanent: Localized tissue necrosis
- Case reports: 9 in 10k

Nasal vasculature

Loukas Surg Radiol Anat 2006

GRUNEBAUM et al., Dermatol Surg 2009

Histology of debridement sample

- Necrotic skin on the nasolabial fold
- Epidermal necrosis and intradermal deposition of filler material (arrow).

- Intra-arterial foreign bodies and thickening of the intima.
- Subcutaneous tissue shows multiple intra-arterial embolization (arrow)

Blindness! Central retinal artery occlusion

Kim OPRS 2011

Anastomosis paths between internal and external carotid arteries through the face

Kassir R et al. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2011;10(3):224-31.

3D computed tomographic angiography (3D-CTA)

• Local occlusion of the left angular branch of the facial artery.

 Compensatory dilation of collateral vessels from the infraorbital artery was noted (arrowhead).

Inoue et al., Plastic and reconstructive surgery, 2008

Proposed mechanisms

1-External vascular compression

2-Intravcascular Occlusion

Our contribution

- Utilizing OCT angiography technology and an animal model to study complications associated with dermal filler injections
- Testing two hypothesis associated with these complications
- Pre-clinical studies

Motivation

- Understanding these mechanisms can help the clinicians to tailor therapeutic strategies after these incidents
- Towards development of better cosmetic filler materials to prevent microvascular complications

1- Testing extravascular compression theory

- Direct injection of various filler types into the mouse ear pinna
- Observe changes in the microcirculatory network around the filler
- Baseline image before injection, immediate after injection and 1-week follow up

Soft tissue injection (Juvederm[®])

Soft tissue injection (Belotero[®])

Structure cross-sections overlaid with surrounding microvessels

Depth-encoded microvessels

С A В E D F

Immediate

Follow up

Soft tissue injection (Restylane[®])

Structure cross-sections overlaid with surrounding microvessels

Depth-encoded microvessels

Immediate

Soft tissue injection (Voluma[®])

Structure cross-sections overlaid with surrounding microvessels

Depth-encoded microvessels

Immediate

Follow up

Possible damage to major veins? (Perlane®)

2- Intravascular occlusion

Intravascular injection: Restylane and Voluma

Intravascular injection: Juvederm and Belotero

Conclusions

- Utilized OCT angiography techniques to study complications of cosmetic dermal fillers
- Tested two hypotheses: extravascular compression and intravascular occlusions
- Could not observe any major tissue necrosis and microvasculature blockage in extravascular compression experiment in the mouse ear model
- Fillers definitely blocked arteries when directly injected intravascular
- Histology validation in the future

Acknowledgments

