EFFICACY OF COVID-19 PROVIDER RELIEF FUNDING ACROSS US NURSING HOMES



Advait Patil¹ and Eunice Yang¹, Jeff Choi², MD, MSc, Lakshika Tennakoon², MD, MPhil, Joseph D. Forrester², MD, MSc, Lisa M. Knowlton², MD, MPH ¹ Department of Computer Science, Stanford CA; 2 Division of General Surgery, Department of Surgery, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

Background

- US nursing homes have been significantly impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic, as their residents are typically elderly adults with underlying medical conditions
- HHS announced >\$5 billion in emergency provider relief funds (PRF) under the CARES Act
- CMS reported longitudinal data on COVID-19 outcomes in US nursing homes
- Association between PRF funding and COVID-19 outcomes for facilities and residents is unknown

Project Description

- Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services COVID-19 Nursing Home Dataset (Jun 2020 – Jan 2021)
- US Department of Health & Human Services PRF Dataset (Jan 2021)
- Final merged sample, after quality assurance filtering, includes 107,762 reports on 2858 US nursing homes

Outcomes Analysis

- Divided nursing homes into **four quartiles** by facility CARES Act funding per resident
- Examined trends in COVID-19 metrics (including cases, deaths, staff cases, PPE shortages, and access to testing) across funding quartiles
- Categorized nursing homes into geographic regions (Northeast, South, Midwest, West) and analyzed facility geographic distribution and regional median household incomes



Summary Statistics	Total PRF (N = 2914)	PRF Quartile 1 (N= 729, 25%)	PRF Quartile 2 (N= 728, 25%)	PRF Quartile 3 (N= 728, 25%)	PRF Quartile 4 (N= 729, 25%)	P value
Funding per resident capacity, mean ± SD, \$	13287.09± 82685.79	4462.59± 1804.14	6340.80 ± 207.98	7123.73 ± 294.05	35203.28± 163427.58	
Number of beds, mean ± SD	104.43 ± 60.59	121.35 ± 59.18	116.34 ± 54.12	100.76 ± 65.91	79.27 ± 53.36	<0.001
COVID-19 Cases Per 1,000 Residents, mean ± SD	535.71 ± 351.78	573.93 ± 342.26	571.17 ± 344.49	559.71 ± 350.48	437.77 ± 352.12	<0.001
COVID-19 Deaths Per 1,000 Residents, mean ± SD	116.39 ± 135.88	112.87 ± 139.75	133.22 ± 145.00	117.67 ± 127.58	91.76 ± 127.10	<0.001
Mortality, mean ± SD	20.46 ± 20.75	20.05 ± 20.54	22.18 ± 21.15	19.56 ± 18.96	19.98 ± 22.24	0.08
Total staff confirmed COVID-19, mean ± SD	34.68 ± 25.56	34.26 ± 23.97	38.24 ± 25.23	36.09 ± 27.09	30.13 ± 25.21	<0.001
1-week PPE shortage, any type (%)	1850 (64.7)	445 (62.2)	472 (66.1)	499 (68.7)	434 (60.7)	<0.05
Facilities with shortage of staff (%)	2066 (72.3)	552 (77.2)	522 (73.1)	522 (73.1)	470 (65.7)	<0.001

Discussion

- Higher funded facilities have smaller bed capacity, significantly fewer resident cases and deaths, less staff shortage, and fewer staff COVID-19 cases
- Lesser funded nursing homes are clustered in the geographic south, while highest funded homes are in areas with higher median incomes
- Lesser funded nursing homes had a greater proportion of COVID-19 test results taking >7 days

Takeaways

- Highest funded nursing homes tended to be less impacted throughout the pandemic on multiple COVID-19 metrics
- Results point to a need for more **equitable** and transparent distribution of emergency funding to nursing homes nationwide

Our Next Steps

- Conduct stakeholder interviews to better understand PRF expenditure
- Quality improvement: Identify supply chain and staffing issues
- Partner and work with nursing facilities
- Disseminate our results
- Communicate findings to CMS and health policy groups

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Drs. Knowlton, Choi, Forrester, and the rest of our advisors for their guidance and critical insight.